

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

EUSTOLIO ENRIQUE SALAZAR,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:18-CR-00354-DSF ORDER OF DETENTION

I.

On September 6, 2018, Defendant Eustolio Enrique Salazar ("Defendant") made an initial appearance in this district on the Indictment filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 2:18-CR-00354-DSF.

The Court appointed Curtis Leftwich, a member of the Indigent Defense Panel, to represent Defendant.

1	The Court conducted a detention hearing based on:
2	\boxtimes a motion by the Government or \square on the Court's own motion [18 U.S.C.
3	§ 3142(f)(2)] in a case allegedly involving:
4	(a) \boxtimes a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
5	(b) ⊠ a serious risk of danger to any person or the community
6	(c) \square a serious risk that the defendant will
7	(i) \square obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
8	(ii) threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness
9	or juror or attempt to do so.
10	The Government \square is $/ \boxtimes$ is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no
11	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
12	defendant's appearance as required and the safety of any person or the
13	community.
14	
	II.
15	11.
15 16	☐ Defendant submitted on the report and recommendation of the U.S.
	_
16	\Box Defendant submitted on the report and recommendation of the U.S.
16 17	☐ Defendant submitted on the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency.
16 17 18	☐ Defendant submitted on the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency. ☐ The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient
16 17 18 19	☐ Defendant submitted on the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency. ☐ The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.
16 17 18 19 20	 □ Defendant submitted on the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency. □ The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute. ☑ The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will
16 17 18 19 20 21	 □ Defendant submitted on the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency. □ The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute. □ The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure: □ the appearance of the defendant as required.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 □ Defendant submitted on the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency. □ The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute. □ The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure: □ the appearance of the defendant as required. □ The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure: □ the appearance of the defendant as required. □ The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure: □ the appearance of the defendant as required.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	□ Defendant submitted on the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency. □ The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute. □ The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure: □ the appearance of the defendant as required. □ the safety of any person or the community. The Court bases its conclusions on the following:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	□ Defendant submitted on the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency. □ The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute. □ The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure: □ the appearance of the defendant as required. □ the safety of any person or the community. The Court bases its conclusions on the following: As to risk of non-appearance:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	□ Defendant submitted on the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency. □ The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute. □ The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure: □ the appearance of the defendant as required. □ the safety of any person or the community. The Court bases its conclusions on the following: As to risk of non-appearance: • The current order from the Southern District of California detaining

• Possible substance abuse history

As to danger to the community:

- Nature of the instant offense
- Possible substance abuse history

III.

In reaching this decision, the Court considered: (a) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; (b) the weight of evidence against the defendant; (c) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and (d) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)] The Court also considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial Services Agency.

V.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant be detained until trial. The defendant will be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant will be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel. On order of a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined will deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal

1	for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
2	[18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)]
3	
4	
5	Dated: September 7, 2018 /s/ HON. MARIA A. AUDERO
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	